arXiv:1401.1893v1 [math.NT] 9 Jan 2014

Plane Partition Polynomial Asymptotics

Robert P. Boyer and Daniel T. Parry

Abstract. The plane partition polynomial $Q_n(x)$ is the polynomial of degree n whose coefficients count the number of plane partitions of n indexed by their trace. Extending classical work of E.M. Wright, we develop the asymptotics of these polynomials inside the unit disk using the circle method.

1. Introduction

A plane partition π of a positive integer n is an array $[\pi_{i,j}]$ of nonnegative integers such that $\sum \pi_{i,j} = n$ while its trace is the sum of the diagonal entries $\sum \pi_{i,i}$. The asymptotics of the plane partition numbers $PL(n)$, the number of all plane partitions of n, was found by Wright [**8**] in 1931 using the circle and saddle point methods. In this paper, we study the asymptotics of polynomial versions $Q_n(x)$ of the plane partitions of n given by

Definition 1. Let $Q_n(x)$ be the n-th degree polynomial given by $\sum_{k=1}^{n} pp_k(n)x^k$ where $pp_k(n)$ is the number of plane partitions of n with trace k. These polynomials have generating function

$$P(x,u) = \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1-xu^m)^m} = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Q_n(x)u^n \tag{1}$$

(see [**1, 6**]).

In adapting the circle method to develop the asymptotics of these polynomials (see Section 4), we needed to introduce the sequence $\{L_k(x)\}$ of functions to describe the dominant contributions to their asymptotics where

$$L_k(x) = \frac{1}{k}\sqrt[3]{2Li_3(x^k)} \tag{2}$$

and $Li_3(x)$ is the trilogarithm function given by $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x^n/n^3$.

An important first step in the asymptotic analysis of the polynomials was obtained in our paper [**3**] where we determined exactly when $\Re L_m(x)$ $(m = 1, 2)$ dominates $\Re L_k(x)$, for $k \neq m$, inside the unit disk (see [**3**]).

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 11C08 Secondary: 11M35, 30C55, 30E15

.

Key words and phrases. Plane partition, polynomials, asymptotics, circle method, trilogarithm, phase.

A primary motivation for us to develop the asymptotics was to find the limiting behavior of the zeros of the plane partition polynomials which is described in detail in our paper [**4**].

2. Factorization of the Generating Function $P(x,u)$

We are interested in the behavior of $P(x,u)$ in a neighborhood of $u=e^{2\pi ih/k}$ inside the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$, where h and k are relatively prime. To start we expand the logarithm of the generating function $\ln P(w,u)$

$$\ln P(w,u)=\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty}\frac{x^\ell}{\ell}\frac{u^\ell}{(1-u^\ell)^2}.$$

for $x,u\in\mathbb{D}$. Next with $u=e^{-w+2\pi ih/k}$, with $\Re(w)>0$, we introduce two functions

(3)
$$A_{h,k}(x,w)=\sum_{k\nmid\ell}\frac{x^\ell}{\ell}\frac{e^{-\ell w+2\pi i\ell h/k}}{(1-e^{-\ell w+2\pi i\ell h/k})^2},\quad B_{h,k}(x,w)=\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty}\frac{x^{k\ell}}{k\ell}\frac{e^{-\ell kw}}{(1-e^{-\ell kw})^2}.$$

Then the generating function decomposes as

$$\ln[P(x,e^{-w+2\pi ih/k})]=A_{h,k}(x,x,w)+B_{h,k}(x,w).$$

Note that when $k=1$, $A_{h,k}(x,w)=0$ and that

$$A_{h,k}(x,0)=-\frac{1}{4}\sum_{k\nmid\ell}\frac{x^\ell}{\ell}\csc^2(\pi\ell h/k),\quad k\geq 2.$$

We need three additional functions $\Psi_{h,k}(x,w)$, $\omega_{h,k,n}(x)$, and $g_{h,k}(x,w)$ where

$$\Psi_{h,k}(x,w)=\frac{Li_3(x^k)}{k^2w},\tag{4}$$

$$\ln[\omega_{h,k,n}(x)]=\begin{cases}\frac{1}{12k}\ln(1-x^k)-A_{h,k}(x,0)-2\pi inh/k, & k\geq 2\\ \frac{1}{12}\ln(1-x), & k=1,\end{cases}\tag{5}$$

(6)
$$g_{h,k}(x,w)=\begin{cases}[A_{h,k}(x,w)-A_{h,k}(x,0)]+\left[B_{h,k}(x,w)-\Psi_{h,k}(x,w)-\frac{1}{12k}\ln(1-x^k)\right], & k\geq 2\\ B_{0,1}(x,w)-\Psi_{0,1}(x,w)-\frac{1}{12}\ln(1-x), & k=1.\end{cases}$$

By construction, $P(x,e^{-w+2\pi ih/k})$ admits a factorization as follows.

Proposition 2. *Let h,k,n be nonnegative integers such that $(h,k)=1$. If $\Re w>0$ and $x\in\mathbb{D}$, then the generating function $P(x,e^{-w+2\pi ih/k})$ factors as*

$$P(x,e^{-w+2\pi ih/k})=\omega_{h,k,n}(x)e^{2\pi inh/k}e^{\Psi_{h,k}(x,w)}e^{g_{h,k}(x,w)},$$

where $\omega_{h,k,n}(x)$, $\Psi_{h,k}(x,w)$ and $g_{h,k}(x,w)$ are given in equations (4), (5), (6).

The key in using this factorization for the circle method is the following bound.

PROPOSITION 3. *Assume that* $0 < |x| < 1$ *and* $\Re w > 0$. *(a) If* $\Im w \neq 0$ *as well, then there exists* $M > 0$ *such that*

$$|g_{h,k}(x,w)| \leq \frac{2|w|}{1-|x|}\left[k^3 + \frac{|x|^{\frac{\pi}{k|\Im w|}}}{1-e^{-\frac{\pi \Re w}{k|\Im w|}}}\right] + \frac{1}{1-|x|^2}\left[M|w|^2 k + \left(\frac{2}{(1-e^{-\Re w \frac{\pi}{|w|}})^3}+1\right)|x|^{\frac{\pi}{|w|}}\right]. \tag{7}$$

(b) If w *is real and positive, then there exists* $M > 0$ *such that*

$$|g_{h,k}(x,w)| \leq \frac{2|w|}{1-|x|}k^3 + \frac{1}{1-|x|^2}\left[M|w|^2 k + \left(\frac{2}{(1-e^{-\Re w \frac{\pi}{|w|}})^3}+1\right)|x|^{\frac{\pi}{|w|}}\right].$$

The proof is given in the following two lemmas.

LEMMA 4. *Let* $k \geq 2$ *and* $\Re w > 0$. *(a) If* $\Im w \neq 0$, *then*

$$|A_{h,k}(x,w) - A_{h,k}(x,0)| \leq \frac{2|w|}{1-|x|}\left[k^3 + \frac{|x|^{\frac{\pi}{k|\Im w|}}}{1-e^{-\frac{\pi \Re w}{k|\Im w|}}}\right].$$

(b) When $\Im w = 0$, $|A_{h,k}(x,w) - A_{h,k}(x,0)| \leq \frac{2|w|}{1-|x|}k^3$.

PROOF. (a) For fixed w and x, consider the function of t, $A_{h,k}(x,wt)$. By the Mean Value Theorem, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
|A_{h,k}(x,w) - A_{h,k}(x,0)| &\leq \sup_{0<t<1}\left|\frac{d}{dt}A_{h,k}(x,wt)\right| \\
&\leq |w|\left|\sum_{k\nmid \ell} x^\ell \frac{e^{-\ell w t + 2\pi i \ell h/k}(1+e^{-\ell w t + 2\pi i \ell h/k})}{(1-e^{-\ell w t + 2\pi i \ell h/k})^3}\right| \\
&\leq 2\,|w| \sum_{k\nmid \ell} \frac{|x|^\ell}{|e^{-wt\ell + 2\pi i \ell h/k} - 1|^3}.
\end{aligned}$$

For $0 < t < 1$ and $\ell \nmid k$ such that $\ell|\Im w|t < \pi/k$, we have the bound

$$\frac{1}{|e^{-wt\ell + 2\pi i \ell h/k} - 1|} \leq \frac{1}{|\sin(2\pi \ell h/k - \Im w t \ell)|} \leq |\csc(\pi/k)| \leq k/2$$

since $|\sin(y)| \leq |e^{x+iy} - 1|$. On the other hand, if $\ell \geq \frac{\pi}{k|\Im w|t}$, we see that

$$\frac{1}{|e^{-wt\ell t + 2\pi i \ell h/k} - 1|} \leq \frac{1}{1-e^{-\Re w t \ell}} \leq \frac{1}{1-e^{-\frac{\pi \Re w}{k|\Im w|}}}.$$

Combining these last two bounds, we can complete the proof:

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\frac{d}{dt}A_{h,k}(x,wt)\right| &\le 2\sum_{k\nmid\ell}\frac{|x|^\ell}{|e^{-wt\ell+2\pi i\ell h/k}-1|^3}\\ &\le \sum_{\substack{k\nmid\ell,\\ \ell<\pi/[k|\Im w|t]}} 2k^3|x|^\ell + \frac{2}{(1-e^{-\frac{\pi\Re w}{k|\Im w|}})^3}\sum_{\substack{k\nmid\ell,\\ \ell\ge\pi/[k|\Im w|t]}}|x|^\ell\\ &\le 2k^3\frac{1}{1-|x|}+\frac{2}{(1-e^{-\frac{\pi\Re w}{k|\Im w|}})^3}\frac{|x|^{\frac{\pi}{k|\Im w|t}}}{1-|x|}\\ &\le \frac{2}{1-|x|}\left[k^3+\frac{|x|^{\frac{\pi}{k|\Im w|}}}{1-e^{-\frac{\pi\Re w}{k|\Im w|}}}\right].\end{aligned}$$

(b) Both $|x|^{\frac{\pi}{k|\Im w|}}$ and $e^{-\pi\frac{\Re w}{k|\Im w|}}$ go to 0 as $\Im w\to 0$, so the bound in part (b) follows from part (a). □

LEMMA 5. *Assume that $0<|x|<1$ and $\Re w>0$. There exists $M>0$ such that*

(8)

$$\left|B_k(x,w)-\frac{Li_3(x^k)}{k^3w^2}-\frac{1}{12}\ln(1-x^k)\right|\le\frac{1}{(1-|x|)^2}\left[M|w|^2k+\left(\frac{2}{(1-e^{-\Re w\frac{\pi}{|w|}})^3}+1\right)|x|^{\frac{\pi}{|w|}}\right].$$

PROOF. We begin by expanding the left-hand side of (8) as a series

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty}\frac{x^{k\ell}}{k\ell}\left[\frac{e^{-wk\ell}}{(e^{-wk\ell}-1)^2}-\frac{1}{\ell^2k^2w^2}+\frac{1}{12}\right].$$

For $|kw\ell|<\pi$, there exists $M>0$ such that

$$\left|\frac{e^{-wk\ell}}{(e^{-wk\ell}-1)^2}-\frac{1}{\ell^2k^2w^2}+\frac{1}{12}\right|\le M|kw\ell|^2$$

since

$$\lim_{z\to 0}\frac{1}{z^2}\left(\frac{e^z}{(e^z-1)^2}-\frac{1}{z^2}+\frac{1}{12}\right)=\frac{1}{240}.$$

On the other hand, for $|kw\ell|>\pi$,

$$\left|\frac{e^{-wk\ell}}{(e^{-wk\ell}-1)^2}\right|\le\frac{e^{-\Re wk\ell}}{(e^{-\Re wk\ell}-1)^2}\le\frac{2}{(1-e^{-\Re w\frac{\pi}{|w|}})^3}.$$

So we have the bound for $\Re w>0$

$$\left|\frac{e^{-wk\ell}}{(e^{-wk\ell}-1)^2}-\frac{1}{\ell^2k^2w^2}+\frac{1}{12}\right|\le\frac{2}{(1-e^{-\Re w\frac{\pi}{|w|}})^3}+1$$

The proof is now completed in the same way as Lemma 4. □

3. Phases

For convenience, we record a result from our paper [**3**].

DEFINITION 6. [**3**, Definition 1] Let $\{L_k(x)\}$ be any sequence of complex-valued functions on a domain D. The set $R(m)$ is the m-th phase (or phase m) of $\{L_k(x)\}$ if (1) if $x\in R(m)$, then $\Re L_m(x)>\Re L_k(x)$ for all $k\ne m$ and (2) if V is any open subset of D satisfying (1), then $V\subset R(m)$.

THEOREM 7. *(Parry-Boyer [**3**]) Let D be the punctured open unit disk and let $\{L_k(x)\}$ be given as in (2). Then D contains exactly two nonempty phases $R(1)$ and $R(2)$ whose union is dense in D and whose common boundary is the level set $\{x \in D : \Re L_1(x) = \Re L_2(x)\}$. It has exactly one real point $x^* \simeq -0.82500\,30529$ and its closure contains exactly two points $e^{\pm i\theta^*}$ on the unit circle where $\theta^* \simeq \pm 0.95170\,31251\pi$. Further $R(2)$ lies in the open left half plane and $R(2) \cap \mathbb{R} = (-1, x^*)$.*

4. Asymptotics of the Polynomials on the Phases $R(1)$ and $R(2)$

4.1. Introduction. We will adapt the circle method which is usually used to give asymptotics for a sequence $\{c_n\}$ of positive numbers through their generating function $\sum_{n\geq 0} c_n u^n$. With the coefficients c_n replaced with the polynomials $Q_n(x)$, we find that the dominant contribution to their asymptotics depends on the location of x in the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$. The purpose of this section is to show that the subsets of $\mathbb{D}$ where the asymptotics have the same dominant form coincide with the phases of $\{L_k(x)\}$. See Andrews's classic book [**1**, Chapter 5] for a thorough discussion of the circle method.

THEOREM 8. *Let $R(1)$ and $R(2)$ be the phases of $\{L_k(x)\}$ given in Theorem 7.*
(a) Let $x \in X \subset R(1) \setminus [x^*, 0]$ be a compact set, then

$$Q_n(x) = \sqrt[12]{1-x}\sqrt{\frac{L_1(x)}{6\pi n^{4/3}}}\exp\left(\tfrac{3}{2}n^{2/3}L_1(x)\right)\left(1+O_X\left(n^{-1/3}\right)\right).$$

(b) Let $x \in X \subset R(2)$ be a compact, then

$$Q_n(x) = (-1)^n\sqrt[24]{1-x^2}\sqrt[8]{\frac{1-x}{1+x}}\sqrt{\frac{L_2(x)}{6\pi n^{4/3}}}\exp\left(\tfrac{3}{2}n^{2/3}L_2(x)\right)\left(1+O_X\left(n^{-1/3}\right)\right).$$

By the Cauchy integral formula, we have an integral expression for $Q_n(x)$ with integration contour the circle $|u| = e^{-2\pi\alpha}$ where

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{2\pi n^{1/3}}\Re L_m(x) \tag{9}$$

and $m = 1$ or 2. Then we dissect the circular contour relative to a Farey sequence F_N of order $N = \lfloor \delta n^{1/3} \rfloor$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} Q_n(x) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint_{|u|=e^{-2\pi\alpha}}\frac{P(x,u)\,du}{u^{n+1}} \\ &= \sum_{h/k\in F_N}\int_{h/k-1/k(k+k')}^{h/k+1/k(k+k'')}\frac{P(x,e^{2\pi(-\alpha+i\psi)})}{e^{2\pi n(-\alpha+i\psi)}}\,d\psi \\ &= \sum_{h/k\in F_N}\int_{h/k-1/k(k+k')}^{h/k+1/k(k+k'')}e^{-2\pi inh/k}e^{2\pi n(\alpha-i(\psi-h/k))}P(s,e^{-2\pi(\alpha-i(\psi-h/k))+2\pi ih/k})\,d\psi \end{aligned}$$

where $h'/k' < h/k < h''/k''$ are consecutive elements from the Farey sequence F_N in reduced form. By convention, we will always assume that $N > m$.

We now make the change of variables $v = \psi - h/k$ and apply the factorization in Proposition 2 to get

$$P(x, e^{-2\pi(\alpha-iv)+2\pi ih/k}) = e^{2\pi inh/k}\omega_{h,k,n}(x)\exp\left(\frac{L_k(x)^3}{8\pi^2(\alpha-iv)^2} + g_{h,k}(x, 2\pi(\alpha-iv)).\right)$$

We introduce the integral

(10)
$$I_{h,k,n}(x) = \int_{h/k-1/k(k+k')}^{h/k+1/k(k+k'')} \exp\left(\frac{L_k(x)^3}{8\pi^2(\alpha-iv)^2} + 2\pi n(\alpha-iv)\right)\, e^{g_{h,k}(x,2\pi(\alpha-iv))}\, dv.$$

So we may write $Q_n(x)$ as

$$Q_n(x) = \sum_{h/k\in F_N} \omega_{h,k,n}(x) I_{h,k,n}(x). \tag{11}$$

Our next goal is to show that $\omega_{1,m,n}(x)I_{1,m,n}(x)$ is the dominant term in this expansion.

4.2. Major arcs. We start by making another change of variables $z = 2\pi n^{1/3}v$ in $I_{h,k,n}(x)$ to get

$$I_{h,k,n}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi n^{1/3}} \int_{-\frac{2\pi n^{1/3}}{m+m'}}^{\frac{2\pi n^{1/3}}{m+m''}} \exp\left[n^{2/3}\left(\frac{L_m(x)^3}{2(\Re L_m(x)-iz)^2} + (\Re L_m(x)-iz)\right)\right] \times \exp\left[g_{h,k}\left(x, \frac{1}{n^{1/3}}(\Re L_m(x)-iz)\right)\right] dz.$$

We decompose $I_{h,k,n}(x)$ into the sum $I'_{h,k,n}(x) + I''_{h,k,n}(x)$ where

$$I'_{h,k,n}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi n^{1/3}} \int_{-\frac{2\pi n^{1/3}}{m+m'}}^{\frac{2\pi n^{1/3}}{m+m''}} \exp\left[n^{2/3}\left(\frac{L_m(x)^3}{2(\Re L_m(x)-iz)^2} + (\Re L_m(x)-iz)\right)\right] dz.$$

and

$$I''_{h,k,n}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi n^{1/3}} \int_{-\frac{2\pi n^{1/3}}{m+m'}}^{\frac{2\pi n^{1/3}}{m+m''}} \exp\left[n^{2/3}\left(\frac{L_m(x)^3}{2(\Re L_m(x)-iz)^2} + (\Re L_m(x)-iz)\right)\right] \times \left\{\exp\left[g_{h,k}\left(x, \frac{1}{n^{1/3}}(\Re L_m(x)-iz)\right)\right] - 1\right\} dz.$$

We will obtain an asymptotic expansion of $I'_{h,m,n}(x)$ using a saddle point expansion. To begin we need a basic inequality.

LEMMA 9. *Let $\alpha > 0$, $v \in \mathbb{R}$, and $|\arg(L)| \le \pi/3$, then*

$$\Re\left(\frac{L^3}{(\alpha-iv)^2}\right) \le \frac{(\Re L)^3}{\alpha^2}.$$

If $|\arg(L)| < \pi/3$ and $L \neq 0$, then equality is attained uniquely at $v = -\Im L$. If $|\arg(L)| = \pi/3$ and $L \neq 0$, then equality is attained only at $v = \pm\Im L$.

LEMMA 10. *Let $x \in X \subset D$ be compact. For $0 < \delta < \pi/\sqrt[3]{2\zeta(3)}$, then*
(a) for every $x \in X$, $\pm\Im L_m(x) \in (-\pi/m\delta, \pi/m\delta) \subset [-\frac{2\pi n^{1/3}}{m+m'}, \frac{2\pi n^{1/3}}{m+m''}]$.
(b) there exists a constant $K > 0$ such that

$$I'_{h,m,n}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi n^{1/3}} \int_{-\frac{\pi}{m\delta}}^{\frac{\pi}{m\delta}} \exp\left[n^{2/3}\left(\frac{L_m(x)^3}{2(\Re L_m(x)-iz)^2 - iz)^2} + (\Re L_m(x)-iz)\right)\right] dz + \quad O\left(\exp\left(n^{2/3}[\tfrac{3}{2}\Re L_m(x) - K]\right)\right).$$

PROOF. (a) Since the Farey sequence has order $\lfloor \delta n^{1/3} \rfloor$, we have

$$\frac{\pi}{m\delta} \leq \frac{2\pi n^{1/3}}{m+m'}, \quad \frac{2\pi n^{1/3}}{m+m''} \leq \frac{2\pi n^{1/3}}{m\lfloor \delta n^{1/3} \rfloor}$$

since $h'/m' < h/m < h''/m''$ are consecutive terms of the Farey sequence. In particular, with $0 < \delta < \pi/\sqrt[3]{2\zeta(3)}$, we find $|\Im L_m(x)| \leq \frac{1}{m}\sqrt[3]{2\zeta(3)} < \pi/m\delta$.
(b) By Lemma 9, if $|v| \geq \pi/m\delta$, there exists $K > 0$ such that for all $x \in X$

$$\Re\left(\frac{L_m(x)^3}{2(\Re L_m(x) - iz)^2 - iz)^2} + (\Re L_m(x) - iz)\right) \leq \frac{3}{2}\Re L_m(x) - K.$$

We consider the estimates for the integral on the set $J = [-\frac{2\pi n^{1/3}}{m+m'}, \frac{2\pi n^{1/3}}{m+m''}] \setminus (-\frac{\pi}{m\delta}, \frac{\pi}{m\delta})$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_J \exp\left[n^{2/3}\left(\frac{L_m(x)^3}{2(\Re L_m(x) - iz)^2} + (\Re L_m(x) - iz)\right)\right] dz\right| \\
&\qquad = \int_J \exp\left[n^{2/3}\Re\left(\frac{L_m(x)^3}{2(\Re L_m(x) - iz)^2} + (\Re L_m(x) - iz)\right)\right] dz \\
&\qquad \leq \int_J \exp\left[n^{2/3}\left(\frac{3}{2}\Re L_m(x) - K\right)\right] dz \\
&\qquad = O\left(n^{1/3}\exp\left[n^{2/3}\left(\frac{3}{2}\Re L_m(x) - K\right)\right]\right).
\end{aligned}$$

The estimate in part (b) now follows. □

PROPOSITION 11. *(a) Let $x \in X \subset \mathbb{D} \setminus \{x^m \leq 0\}$ be compact. Then*

$$I'_{h,m,n}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi n^{2/3}}}\sqrt{\frac{L_m(x)}{3}}\exp\left(\tfrac{3}{2}n^{2/3}L_m(x)\right)\left(1 + O_X(n^{-2/3})\right).$$

(b) Let $x \in X \subset \{x \in D : x^m \leq 0\}$ be compact. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I'_{h,m,n}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi n^{2/3}}}&\left[\sqrt{\frac{L_m(x)}{3}}\, e^{\frac{3}{2}n^{2/3}L_m(x)}\right]\left(1 + O_X(n^{-2/3})\right) \\
&+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi n^{2/3}}}\left[\sqrt{\frac{L_m(x)}{3}}\, e^{\frac{3}{2}n^{2/3}L_m(x)}\right]^-\left(1 + O_X(n^{-2/3})\right).
\end{aligned}$$

PROOF. We apply the saddle point method as given in [**5**, p. 10-11] and note that standard arguments will make the estimates there uniform for $x \in X$. We let $B(z) = \frac{L_m(x)^3}{2(\Re L_m(x) - iz)^2} + (\Re L_m(x) - iz)$.

(a) By the inequality in Lemma 9, $B(z)$ has a unique maximum on $\mathbb{R}$ at $z_0 = -\Im L_m(x)$ with $B(z_0) = \frac{3}{2\Re L_m(x)} > 0$. Note that uniqueness follows since, for $x \in X$, $Li_3(x) < 0$ if and only if $x < 0$. Now the second derivative $B''(z) = -3/(\Re L_m(x) - iz)^4$ is Lipschitz continuous on $\mathbb{R}$ and $B''(z_0) = -3/L_m(x)$. Hence, by [**5**, p. 11], we find that as $n \to \infty$

$$\int_{-\frac{\pi}{m\delta}}^{\frac{\pi}{m\delta}} e^{n^{2/3}B(z)}\, dz = e^{n^{2/3}B(z_0)}\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{n^{2/3}\cdot\frac{3}{2}\,\frac{1}{L_m(x)}}}\left(1 + O_X(n^{-2/3})\right)$$

which simplifies to the desired expression.

(b) Let $z_0 = \Im L_m(x)$. Since $x^m < 0$, for $z \in \mathbb{R}$, $B(z)$ has two equal maxima at $\pm z_0$ with $B(\pm z_0) = \frac{3}{2} L_m(x)$. Since $|\arg L_m(x)| = \pi/3$ and $x \neq 0$, $\Im L_m(x) \neq 0$. Now $B(-z) = \overline{B(z)}$ for $z \in \mathbb{R}$ since L^3 is real. We get desired the equation by applying the saddle point method as in part (a) to $\displaystyle\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{m\delta}} e^{n^{2/3}B(z)}\, dz$ and observing that $\displaystyle\left[\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{m\delta}} e^{n^{2/3}B(z)}\, dz\right]^- = \int_{-\frac{\pi}{m\delta}}^0 e^{n^{2/3}B(z)}\, dz.$ □

Note that bounds that come from the above saddle point asymptotics must exclude the interval $[x^*, 0]$ from the phase $R(1)$. This explains choosing between $R(1)$ and $R(1) \setminus [x^*, 0]$ as a region below.

4.3. Estimates for Non-dominant Contributions.

4.3.1. *Bounds for the Integrals $I''_{h,m,n}(x)$.*

LEMMA 12. *Let $x \in X \subset D$ be compact with $M_X = \max\{|x| : x \in X\}$. Fix δ so $0 < \delta < 1/\sqrt[3]{2\zeta(3)}$ and $n \geq 1/\delta^3$. Then*
(a) For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ fixed, then as $n \to \infty$

$$\left| g_{h,k}\left(x, \frac{1}{n^{1/3}}(\Re L_m(x) - iz)\right)\right| = O_{X,k}\left(\frac{1}{\delta n^{1/3}}\right).$$

(b) For $k \leq \lfloor \delta n^{1/3} \rfloor$, then as $n \to \infty$

$$\left| g_{h,k}\left(x, \frac{1}{n^{1/3}}(\Re L_m(x) - iz)\right)\right| \leq \frac{2\sqrt{5}}{1 - M_X}\delta^2 n^{2/3} + O_X\left(\frac{1}{\delta n^{1/3}}\right).$$

PROOF. We will bound the terms in equation (7) individually. For convenience, we work with the variable $v = 2\pi n^{1/3} z$. Recall that $\sqrt[3]{2\zeta(3)} < 1/\delta$ and $|v| \leq \frac{1}{kN} < \frac{1}{k\delta n^{1/3}}$, where $N = \lfloor \delta n^{1/3} \rfloor$ is the order of the Farey fractions. Introduce $C_X = \max\{1/\Re L_m(x) : x \in X\}$. We start with the easy estimate:

$$|w| = \left| \frac{\Re L_m(x)}{n^{1/3}} - iv \right| \leq \frac{1}{n^{1/3}} \sqrt{(2\zeta(3))^{2/3} + \frac{1}{(k\delta)^2}} \leq \frac{\sqrt{5}}{\delta n^{1/3}}$$

since $\sqrt[3]{2\zeta(3)}$ is an upper bound for $\Re L_m(x)$, $x \in X$.

Next by using that $1/(1 - e^{-t}) < 1 + 1/t$ for $t > 0$, we can show that

$$\frac{1}{1 - e^{-\frac{\pi \Re w}{k|\Im w|}}} \leq 1 + \frac{\sqrt{5} C_X}{\pi\delta}, \qquad \frac{1}{1 - e^{-\frac{\pi \Re w}{|w|}}} \leq 1 + \frac{\sqrt{5} C_X}{\pi\delta}$$

Using $e^{-t} \leq 27/(et)^3$ for $t > 0$, we obtain

$$|x|^{\frac{\pi}{k|\Im w|}} \leq \frac{-27}{(2\pi e n^{1/3}\delta \ln M_X)^3}, \qquad |x|^{\frac{\pi}{|w|}} \leq \frac{-27\sqrt{125}}{(\pi e \delta n^{1/3} \ln M_X)^3}.$$

Hence, $\left| g_{h,k}\left(x, \frac{\Re L_m(x)}{n^{1/3}} - iv\right)\right|$ is bounded above by

$$\frac{2\sqrt{5}}{\delta n^{1/3}(1 - M_X)}\left[k^3 + \frac{-27}{(2\pi e \delta n^{1/3} \ln M_X)^3} \cdot \left(1 + \frac{2C_X}{\pi\delta}\right)\right]$$
$$+ \frac{1}{(1 - M_X)^2}\left[\frac{5Mk}{\delta^2 n^{2/3}} + \left(2 \cdot \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{5} C_X}{\pi\delta}\right)^3 + 1\right) \cdot \frac{-27}{(\pi e \delta n^{1/3} \ln M_X)^3}\right].$$

We rewrite this upper bound as a polynomial in k with constants A and B independent of δ, k, and n:

$$\frac{2\sqrt{5}}{\delta n^{1/3}(1-M_X)}k^3 + \frac{1}{(1-M_X)^2}\,\frac{5M}{\delta^2 n^{2/3}}k + A\,\frac{1}{\delta^5 n^{4/3}} + B\,\frac{1}{\delta^6 n}. \tag{12}$$

With k fixed, we obtain part (a) since the bound in (12) is $O_X(1/[\delta n^{1/3}])$; while if we replace k with $\delta n^{1/3}$, we get the bound in part (b). □

LEMMA 13. *Let $x \in X$ where X is a compact subset of either $R(1) \setminus [x^*, 0]$ or $R(2)$. Then $I''_{h,m,n}(x) = O_{X,\delta}(I'_{h,m,n}(x)/n^{1/3})$, $m = 1, 2$.*

PROOF. By the definition of $I''_{h,m,n}(x)$ given in subsection 4.2, it is enough to observe that there exists a positive constant $K_{X,m,\delta}$ such that

$$\left| e^{g_{h,m}\left(x, \frac{1}{n^{1/3}}(\Re L_m(x) - iz)\right)} \right| \le \frac{K_{X,m,\delta}}{n^{1/3}}$$

by the above lemma.

□

4.3.2. *Bounds for Minor Arcs.*

LEMMA 14. *Let $x \in X$ where X is a compact subset of unit disk. Then*

$$|\omega_{h,k,n}(x)| \le 2^{1/12} \exp\left(\frac{k^2}{16h^2} Li_3(M_X)\right) \le 2^{1/12} \exp\left(\frac{k^2}{16}\left(\zeta(3) - \ln(1-M_X)\right)\right)$$

where $M_X = \max\{|x| : x \in X\}$ and $\omega_{h,k,n}(x)$ is given in (5).

PROOF. We bound each component separately in the definition of $\omega_{h,k,n}(x)$. We start with the first factor

$$\left|\exp\left(\frac{1}{12k}\ln(1-x^k)\right)\right| = \exp\left(\frac{1}{12k}\Re\ln(1-x^k)\right) \le 2^{1/12k}.$$

Next we obtain an intermediate bound

$$\left|\exp\left(-\frac{1}{4}\sum_{\ell\nmid k}\frac{x^\ell}{\ell}\csc^2(\pi h\ell/k)\right)\right| \le \exp\left(\frac{1}{4}\sum_{\ell\nmid k}\frac{|x|^\ell}{\ell}\csc^2(\pi h\ell/k)\right).$$

Break up the above series into two sums with the sets of indices

$$J_1 = \{\ell : \ell \nmid k,\, h\ell/k \bmod 1 < 1/2\}, \quad J_2 = \{\ell \nmid k,\, 1/2 \ge h\ell/k \bmod 1\}.$$

and use the elementary estimates $\sin\theta \ge 2\theta/\pi$, for $0 \le \theta \le \pi/2$, and $\ge 2(1-\theta/\pi)$ for $\pi/2 \le \theta \le \pi$ to obtain the two bounds below to complete the proof:

$$\exp\left(\frac{1}{4}\sum_{\ell\in J_1}\frac{|x|^\ell}{\ell}\csc^2(\pi h\ell/k)\right) \le \exp\left(\frac{k^2}{16h^2}Li_3(M_X)\right),$$

$$\exp\left(\frac{1}{4}\sum_{\ell\in J_2}\frac{|x|^\ell}{\ell}\csc^2(\pi h\ell/k)\right) \le \exp\left(-\frac{k^2}{16}\ln(1-M_X)\right).$$

□

LEMMA 15. *Let $x \in X$ where X is a compact subset of $R(m)$, $m = 1, 2$. There exists a positive constant a_X such that*

$$\Re\left[\frac{L_k(x)^3}{8\pi^2(\alpha - iv)^2} + 2\pi n(\alpha - iv)\right] \le n^{2/3}\left(\frac{3}{2}\Re L_m(x) + a_X\right), \quad k \neq m,\ x \in X,$$

where α is given in equation (9).

PROOF. In subsection 4.2, we saw that

$$\frac{1}{n^{2/3}}\Re\left[\frac{L_k(x)^3}{8\pi^2(\alpha - iv)^2} + 2\pi n(\alpha - iv)\right] = \Re\left[\frac{L_k(x)^3}{2(\Re L_m(x) - 2\pi i n^{1/3}v)^2} + (\Re L_m(x) - 2\pi i n^{1/3}v)\right].$$

By Lemma 9, we have the strict bound for $x \in X$ and $k \neq m$

$$\Re\left[\frac{L_k(x)^3}{2(\Re L_m(x) - 2\pi i n^{1/3}v)^2} + (\Re L_m(x) - 2\pi i n^{1/3}v)\right] \le \frac{(\Re L_k(x))^3}{2(\Re L_m(x))^2} + \Re L_m(x) < \frac{3}{2}\Re L_m(x).$$

Hence the difference, for $k \neq m$, has a positive minimum $a_{X,k}$ on X:

$$\frac{3}{2}\Re L_m(x) - \Re\left[\frac{L_k(x)^3}{2(\Re L_m(x) - 2\pi i n^{1/3}v)^2} + (\Re L_m(x) - 2\pi i n^{1/3}v)\right] \ge a_{X,k} > 0,\ x \in X,$$

by compactness. Consider $a_{X,k}$ as a sequence, then it converges to the minimum of $\frac{3}{2}\Re L_m(x)$ on X which is positive. Hence, $\inf\{a_{X,k} : k \neq m\}$ is attained for some index, say $k_0 \neq m$. In particular, we have

$$a_{X,k} \ge a_{X,k_0} > 0, \quad k \neq m.$$

For simplicity, we write a_X for a_{X,k_0}. The inequality in the lemma follows. □

4.4. Conclusion of proof of Theorem 8.

LEMMA 16. *Let $x \in X$ be a compact subset of $R(m)$, $m = 1, 2$. Then there exists $\delta_0 > 0$ and $\eta > 0$ such that*

$$\left|\sum_{h/k \in F_N, k \neq m} \omega_{h,k,n}(x) I_{h,k,n}(x)\right| \le 2^{1/12}\exp\Big(\big(\tfrac{3}{2}\Re L_m(x) - \eta\big)n^{2/3} + O_{X,\delta_0}(1)\Big)$$

PROOF. We need to estimate $I_{h,k,n}(x)$ where $k \neq m$:

$$I_{h,k,n}(x) = \omega_{h,k,n}(x)\int_{-\frac{1}{k(k+k')}}^{\frac{1}{k(k+k'')}} \exp\left(\frac{L_k(x)^3}{8\pi^2(\alpha - iv)^2} + 2\pi n(\alpha - iv)\right)\exp\left(g_{h,k}(x, 2\pi(\alpha - iv))\right)\, dv.$$

We begin with the bounds

$$\left|\int_{-\frac{1}{k(k+k')}}^{\frac{1}{k(k+k'')}} \exp\left(\frac{L_k(x)^3}{8\pi^2(\alpha - iv)^2} + 2\pi n(\alpha - iv)\right)\exp\left(g_{h,k}(x, 2\pi(\alpha - iv))\right)\, dv\right|$$

$$\le \int_{-\frac{1}{k(k+k')}}^{\frac{1}{k(k+k'')}} \left|\exp\left(\frac{L_k(x)^3}{8\pi^2(\alpha - iv)^2} + 2\pi n(\alpha - iv)\right)\right| \left|\exp\left(g_{h,k}(x, 2\pi(\alpha - iv))\right)\right| dv$$

$$\le \int_{-\frac{1}{k(k+k')}}^{\frac{1}{k(k+k'')}} \exp\left(-a_X n^{2/3} + \frac{3}{2}n^{2/3}\Re L_m(x)\right)\left|\exp\left(\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{1 - M_X}\delta^2 n^{2/3} + o_{X,\delta}(1)\right)\right| dv$$

where we used Lemma 12. Hence a full bound for the sum over $h/k \in F_N, k \neq m$ is

$$2^{1/12}\exp\left(-a_{X,\delta}n^{2/3}+\frac{3}{2}n^{2/3}\Re L_m(x)+\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{1-M_X}\delta^2 n^{2/3}\right.$$
$$\left.+\quad \delta^2 n^{2/3}\frac{\zeta(3)}{16}-\delta^2 n^{2/3}\frac{\ln(1-M_X)}{16}+O_{X,\delta}(1)\right)\left(\frac{1}{k(k+k')}+\frac{1}{k(k+k'')}\right).$$

where the last factor is the length of the interval of integration. Note that this bound holds for any $h/k \in F_N$ with $k \neq m$.

Let $\delta_0 > 0$ be chosen so that

$$a_X-\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{1-M_X}\delta_0-\frac{\zeta(3)}{16}\delta_0+\frac{\ln(1-M_X)}{16}\delta_0>0. \tag{13}$$

Set $\eta = a_X-\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{1-M_X}\delta_0-\frac{\zeta(3)}{16}\delta_0+\frac{\ln(1-M_X)}{16}\delta_0 > 0$ so we now write new full bound as

$$2^{1/12}\exp\Big((\tfrac{3}{2}\Re L_m(x)-\eta)n^{2/3}+O_{X,\delta_0}(1)\Big).$$

The bound for the contributions over all the minor arcs is

$$\left|\sum_{h/k\in F_N,k\neq m}\omega_{h,k,n}(x)I_{h,k,n}(x)\right| \leq 2^{1/12}\exp\Big((\tfrac{3}{2}\Re L_m(x)-\eta)n^{2/3}+O_{X,\delta_0}(1)\Big)$$

since the sum of the lengths of all the minor arcs is less than 1. The proof is complete. □

Proof. We now complete the proof of Theorem 8. Choose the order N of the Farey fractions to be $\lfloor \delta_0 n^{1/3}\rfloor$ where δ_0 is given in Lemma 16. By equation (11), we write

$$Q_n(x)=\omega_{1,m,n}(x)I_{1,m,n}(x)+\sum_{h/k\in F_N,k\neq m}\omega_{h,k,n}(x)I_{h,k,n}(x)$$

By Proposition 11 and Lemma 13, we see that

$$\omega_{1,m,n}(x)I_{1,m,n}(x)=\omega_{1,m,n}(x)\sqrt{\frac{L_m(x)}{6\pi n^{4/3}}}\exp(\tfrac{3}{2}n^{2/3}L_m(x))\ \Big(1+O_X(n^{-1/3})\Big)$$

which dominates the contribution of the sum over $h/k \in F_N, k \neq m$ by Lemma 16. Finally, we observe that

$$\omega_{1,1,n}(x)=\sqrt[12]{1-x},\quad \omega_{1,2,n}(x)=(-1)^n\sqrt[24]{1-x^2}\sqrt[8]{\frac{1-x}{1+x}}$$

to complete the proof. □

5. Asymptotics on the Boundaries of the Phases $R(1)$ and $R(2)$

Let $x \in X \subset \{x : \Re L_1(x) = \Re L_2(x),\ x \neq x^*\}$ be compact. Then the choice of α in equation (9) must satisfy

$$\alpha=\frac{1}{2\pi n^{1/3}}\Re L_1(x)=\frac{1}{2\pi n^{1/3}}\Re L_2(x)$$

so both terms $\omega_{1,1,n}I_{1,1,n}(x)$ and $\omega_{1,2,n}(x)I_{1,2,n}(x)$ will contribute to the dominant asymptotics of $Q_n(x)$. A similar modification must be used for the asymptotics on the compact subsets of $(x^*, 0)$. In this case, the two terms are $\omega_{1,1,n}I_{1,1,n}(x)$ and its

complex conjugate which combine to give an oscillatory term. Further simplification occurs because the argument of $L_1(x)$ is constant on $(x^*, 0)$. We record the results:

THEOREM 17. *Let x^* be the negative real number given in Theorem 7.*
(a) Let $x \in X \subset (x^*, 0)$ be compact. Then

$$Q_n(x) = 2^{7/6} \sqrt[12]{1-x}\, \frac{1}{\sqrt{6\pi n^{4/3}}} |Li_3(x)|^{1/6} \exp\left(\frac{3}{4}\sqrt[3]{2} n^{2/3} |Li_3(x)|^{1/3}\right) \times \left(\cos\left(\frac{3\sqrt{3}}{4}\sqrt[3]{2} n^{2/3} |Li_3(x)|^{1/3} + \frac{\pi}{6}\right) + O_X(n^{-1/3})\right).$$

(b) Let $x \in X \subset \{x : \Re L_1(x) = \Re L_2(x),\ x \neq x^*\}$ be compact. Then

$$Q_n(x) = \sqrt[12]{1-x} \sqrt{\frac{L_1(x)}{6\pi n^{4/3}}} \exp\left(\tfrac{3}{2} n^{2/3} L_1(x)\right) \left(1 + O_X(n^{-1/3})\right) + (-1)^n \sqrt[24]{1-x} \sqrt[8]{\frac{1-x}{1+x}} \sqrt{\frac{L_2(x)}{6\pi n^{4/3}}} \exp\left(\tfrac{3}{2} n^{2/3} L_2(x)\right) \left(1 + O_X(n^{-1/3})\right).$$

Note that in part (a) above, we are abusing notation since this relation holds only if $\Re(\omega_{1,1,n}(x) I_{1,1,n}(x))$ is nonzero. On the other hand, if $\Re(\omega_{1,1,n}(x) I_{1,1,n}(x)) = 0$ holds, $Q_n(x)$ reduces to $O(\omega_{1,1,n}(x) I_{1,1,n}(x) n^{-1/3})$.

Finally, we remark that the asymptotics of $Q_n(x)$ over $(-1, 1)$ has three separate regimes: $(-1, x^*)$, $(x^*, 0)$, and $(0, 1)$. On $(-1, x^*)$ and $(0, 1)$, the polynomials have exponential growth while on $(x^*, 0)$ the polynomials are oscillating. In [**4**], this asymptotic was found to give good approximations to the zeros of $Q_n(x)$ in that interval. The partition polynomials built from the usual partition numbers and studied in [**2**] do not have this oscillatory behavior.

References

[1] George E. Andrews, "The Theory of Partitions," Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley Press, 1976.
[2] Robert P. Boyer and William M. Y. Goh, *Partition polynomials: asymptotics and zeros*, Contemporary Mathematics, Volume **457** (2008), 99-111.
[3] Robert P. Boyer and Daniel T. Parry, *Phase calculations for plane partition polynomials*, accepted for publication, Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics.
[4] Robert P Boyer and Daniel T. Parry, *On the Zeros of Plane Partition Polynomials*, The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics, Volume **18 (2)** (2012) #30 (26 pages)
[5] Mark A. Pinsky, "Introduction to Fourier Analysis," American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002.
[6] Richard P. Stanley, *The conjugate trace and trace of a plane partition*, J. Comb. Theory, Ser. A **14** (1973) 53-65.
[7] Richard P. Stanley, `http://www-math.mit.edu/~rstan/zeros`
[8] E. Maitland Wright, *Asymptotic Partition Formulae: (I) Plane Partitions*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) **2** (1931) 177-189.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, DREXEL UNIVERSITY USA
E-mail address: `rboyer@drexel.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, DREXEL UNIVERSITY USA
E-mail address: `dtp23@drexel.edu`